arXiv:1608.07744v3 [math.RA] 3 Jan 2017

PURELY INFINITE SIMPLE KUMJIAN-PASK ALGEBRAS

HOSSEIN LARKI

Abstract. Given any finitely aligned higher-rank graph Λ and any unital commutative ring R, the Kumjian-Pask algebra $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is known as the higher-rank generalization of Leavitt path algebras. After characterizing simple Kumjian-Pask algebras by L.O. Clark and Y.E.P. Pangalela (and others), we focus in this article on the purely infinite simple ones. Briefly, we show that if $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is simple and every vertex of Λ is reached from a generalized cycle with an entrance, then $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is purely infinite. We next prove a standard dichotomy for simple Kumjian-Pask algebras: in the case that each vertex of Λ is reached only from finitely many vertices and $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is simple, then $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is either purely infinite or locally matritial. This result covers all unital simple Kumjian-Pask algebras.

1. Introduction

Motivated from the work of Roberston and Steger in [27], Kumjian and Pask introduced the notion of a higher-rank graph as analogous of directed graphs and an associated C^*-algebra as higher-rank generalization of graph C^*-algebras. Directed graphs and their generalizations provide a framework to analysis the associated C^*-algebras so that many specific relations and properties of a C^*-algebra may be expressed by simple and visible features of the underlying graph. So, the basic problem in the investigation of graph C^*-algebras and their generalizations is "how can realize an structural property of the C^*-algebra by observing the underlying graph".

Despite some similarities in definitions, the structure of higher-rank graphs and their C^*-algebras are more complicated than that of ordinary directed graphs. However, there has been a great deal of attention to the structure of higher-rank graph C^*-algebras (see [23, 24, 15, 29, 25, 26, 28, 19, 21, 14] for example) because they contain interesting kinds of C^*-algebras besides the classical graph C^*-algebras such as tensor products of graph C^*-algebras [16] and many simple A$\mathbb{T}$-algebras with real rank zero [21] among others.

In [16], Kumjian and Pask only considered row-finite k-graphs with no sources. After that, Raeburn, Sims, and Yeend developed the Kumjian-Pask's construction by associating a C^*-algebra to a locally convex row-finite

Date: January 4, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W50.

Key words and phrases. Kumjian-Pask algebra, higher-rank graph, simplicity, pure infiniteness.

k-graph with possible sources [23] and to a finitely aligned k-graph [24] as the most general higher-rank graph C^*-algebras.

Let R be a unital commutative ring. Associated to every finitely aligned higher-rank graph (or k-graph) Λ, the Kumjian-Pask algebra $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is a specific universal R-algebra as the algebraic version of higher-rank graph C^*-algebras. They were first introduced in [5] for row-finite k-graphs without sources, and then extended to locally convex row-finite and finitely aligned k-graphs [10, 11]. Note that the class of Kumjian-Pask algebras includes all Leavitt path algebras by identifying directed graphs as 1-graphs. However, there are Kumjian-Pask algebras which do not belong to the class of Leavitt path algebras (see [5, Section 7]).

The concept of pure infiniteness was introduced in [3] to classify simple rings as an algebraic analogue of that for C^*-algebras [12]. It was then generalized in [6] for possibly non-simple setting. It is well-known from [1, 17] that a simple Leavitt path algebra $L_R(E)$ associated to a directed graph E is purely infinite if and only if every vertex of E is reached from a cycle (the direction of graphs in [1, 17] was considered as contrariwise of ours). So, one may want to have a higher-rank version of this result for the Kumjian-Pask algebras. The aim of present article is to investigate the pure infiniteness of a simple Kumjian-Pask algebra $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ when Λ is finitely aligned. We use the notion of *generalized cycles* introduced by Evans in [13] to give a (sufficient) condition under which a simple $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ would be purely infinite. In particular, we can determine *all* unital purely infinite simple Kumjian-Pask algebras. Furthermore, we discuss on the ideal structure of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ when Λ is cofinal and aperiodic.

The article is organized as follows. We begin by Section 2 with a review of k-graphs and associated Kumjian-Pask algebras. In Sections 3 and 4, we focus on the cofinality and aperiodicity of k-graphs as the fundamental properties for characterizing simple Kumjian-Pask algebras. In particular, we verify the ideal structure of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ when Λ is cofinal and aperiodic. Moreover, in Section 4, we gives some relations between the aperiodicity and generalized cycles of Λ.

In Sections 5 and 6, we investigate the pure infiniteness of simple Kumjian-Pask algebras. Theorem 5.4 gives some conditions for Λ and R to insure $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is purely infinite simple. It is the higher-rank analogue of [1, Theorem 11] and [17, Proposition 3.1]. In Section 6, we consider k-graphs Λ with this property: for each vertex v in Λ, there are at most finitely many vertices connecting to v. In this case, we show a dichotomy for simple Kumjian-Pask algebras $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$: if Λ contains a cycle, then $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is purely infinite; otherwise, $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is locally matricial. Note that this result covers all unital simple Kumjian-pask algebras.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we review the basic facts about higher-rank graphs from [16, 23, 24] and their Kumjian-Pask algebras from [5, 11].

2.1. **Higher-rank graphs.** Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of non-negative integers. Fixed an integer $k \geq 1$, we regard $\mathbb{N}^k$ as a semigroup under pointwise addition and denote the identity $(0,\dots,0) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ by 0. We denote by $e_1,\dots,e_k$ the generators of $\mathbb{N}^k$, where the i^{th} coordinate of e_i is 1 and the others are 0. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$, we write $n = (n_1,\dots,n_k)$ and use $\leq$ for the partial order on $\mathbb{N}^k$ given by $m \leq n$ if $m_i \leq n_i$ for all i. We also write $m \vee n$ for the coordinate-wise maximum and $m \wedge n$ for the coordinate-wise minimum.

Following [16], a *higher-rank graph* or *k-graph* $\Lambda = (\Lambda^0, \Lambda, r, s)$ is a countable small category Λ equipped with a *degree functor* $d : \Lambda \to \mathbb{N}^k$ satisfying the *unique factorisation property*: if $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $d(\lambda) = m+n$ for $m,n \in \mathbb{N}^k$, then there exist unique $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ such that $d(\lambda_1) = m$, $d(\lambda_2) = n$ and $\lambda = \lambda_1\lambda_2$. We usually denote $\lambda(0,m) := \lambda_1$ and $\lambda(m, d(\lambda)) := \lambda_2$.

Notice that we may view every 1-graph as a directed graph where the degree of each morphism is equal to its length. So, for convenience, we refer to the objects in Λ^0 as vertices and think of each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ as a path (of rank k) from $s(\lambda)$ to $r(\lambda)$. If $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$, then the composition $\lambda\mu$ makes sense if and only if $r(\mu) = s(\lambda)$. Recall that we have $\Lambda^0 \subseteq \Lambda$ where elements of Λ^0 are the paths of Λ with degree 0. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$, we write Λ^n for $d^{-1}(n) = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : d(\lambda) = n\}$. Given $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $E \subseteq \Lambda$ we define

$$\lambda E := \{\lambda\mu : \mu \in E, r(\mu) = s(\lambda)\} \text{ and}$$
$$E\lambda := \{\mu\lambda : \mu \in E, s(\mu) = r(\lambda)\}.$$

Moreover, if $H \subseteq \Lambda^0$ and $E \subseteq \Lambda$, we write

$$HE := \{\mu \in E : r(\mu) \in H\} \text{ and } EH := \{\mu \in E : s(\mu) \in H\}.$$

We say that Λ is *row-finite* if $v\Lambda^n$ is finite for every $v \in \Lambda^0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$. We also say Λ to be *locally convex* if for every $v \in \Lambda^0$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$, and every $\lambda \in v\Lambda^{e_i}$, $\mu \in v\Lambda^{e_j}$, the sets $s(\lambda)\Lambda^{e_j}$ and $s(\mu)\Lambda^{e_i}$ are nonempty [23, Definition 3.10].

Given $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda$, a *minimal common extension* for μ and ν is a path λ such that

$$d(\lambda) = d(\mu) \vee d(\nu) \text{ and } \lambda = \mu\alpha = \nu\beta \text{ for some } \alpha, \beta \in \Lambda.$$

We denote by $\mathrm{MCE}(\mu,\nu)$ the set of all minimal common extension of μ and ν. We also denote

$$\Lambda^{\min}(\mu,\nu) := \{(\alpha,\beta) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda : \mu\alpha = \nu\beta \in \mathrm{MCE}(\mu,\nu)\}$$

and if $\mu \in \Lambda$, $E \subseteq \Lambda$, then

$$\mathrm{Ext}(\mu; E) := \bigcup_{\nu \in E} \left\{\alpha : (\alpha,\beta) \in \Lambda^{\min}(\mu,\nu) \text{ for some } \beta \in \Lambda\right\}.$$

Definition 2.1 ([23, Definition 2.2]). A k-graph Λ is called *finitely aligned* if $\Lambda^{\min}(\mu,\nu)$ is finite (possibly empty) for all $\mu,\nu\in\Lambda$.

Throughout the article, all k-graphs will be assumed to be finitely aligned.

2.2. **Kumjian-Pask algebras.** Let Λ be a k-graph and $v\in\Lambda^0$. A subset $E\subseteq v\Lambda$ is called *exhaustive* if for every $\lambda\in v\Lambda$, there exists $\mu\in E$ such that $\Lambda^{\min}(\mu,\nu)\neq\emptyset$. Let us denote $\mathrm{FE}(\Lambda)$ the collection of all finite and exhaustive sets in Λ, that is,

$$\mathrm{FE}(\Lambda):=\bigcup_{v\in\Lambda^0}\{E\subseteq v\Lambda\setminus\{v\}: E \text{ is finite and exhaustive}\}.$$

It is shown in [15, Proposision 3.11] that if $E\in v\mathrm{FE}(\Lambda)$ and $\mu\in v\Lambda$, then $\mathrm{Ext}(\mu;E)$ is also finite and exhaustive.

Definition 2.2. Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph and let R be a commutative unital ring. A collection $\{S_\lambda, S_{\lambda^*}:\lambda\in\Lambda\}$ in an R-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called a *Kumjian-Pask Λ-family* if it satisfies the following relations:

(KP1) $S_vS_w=\delta_{v,w}S_v$ for all $v,w\in\Lambda^0$.
(KP2) $S_\mu S_\nu=S_{\mu\nu}$ and $S_{\nu^*}S_{\mu^*}=S_{(\mu\nu)^*}$ for all $\mu,\nu\in\Lambda$ with $s(\mu)=r(\nu)$.
(KP3) $S_{\mu^*}S_\nu=\sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Lambda^{\min}(\mu,\nu)}S_\alpha S_{\beta^*}$ for all $\mu,\nu\in\Lambda$.
(KP4) $\prod_{\mu\in E}(S_v-S_\mu S_{\mu^*})=0$ for all $E\in v\mathrm{FE}(\Lambda)$.

It is shown in [11, Theorem 3.7] that there is a (unique up to isomorphism) universal R-algebra $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ generated by a Kumjian-Pask Λ-family $\{s_\lambda,s_{\lambda^*}:\lambda\in\Lambda\}$. This means that if $\{S_\lambda,S_{\lambda^*}:\lambda\in\Lambda\}$ is a Kumjian-Pask Λ-family in an R-algebra $\mathcal{B}$, then there exists a homomorphism $\pi:\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)\to\mathcal{B}$ such that $\pi(s_\lambda)=S_\lambda$ and $\pi(s_{\lambda^*})=S_{\lambda^*}$. We use lower-case letters for generating Kumjian-Pask families. It is a consequence of relations (KP1)-(KP4) that

$$\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)=\mathrm{span}\{s_\mu s_{\nu^*}:\mu,\nu\in\Lambda \text{ and } s(\mu)=s(\nu)\}.$$

Note that, by [11, Theorem 3.7(c)], every Kumjina-Pask algebra $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^k$-graded ring with the grading components

$$\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)_n=\mathrm{span}\{s_\mu s_{\nu^*}: s(\mu)=s(\nu) \text{ and } d(\mu)-d(\nu)=n\}\qquad (n\in\mathbb{Z}^k)$$

(see also [5, Theorem 3.4] and [10, Theorem 3.7(b)]).

2.3. **Boundary paths.** Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph. For $n\in\mathbb{N}^k$, we denote by $\Lambda^{\leq n}$ the set of all paths λ with $d(\lambda)\leq n$ which cannot be extended to paths $\lambda\mu$ with $d(\lambda)<d(\lambda\mu)\leq n$; that is,

$$\Lambda^{\leq n}:=\{\lambda\in\Lambda: d(\lambda)\leq n, \text{ and } d(\lambda)_i<n_i \implies s(\lambda)\Lambda^{e_i}=\emptyset\}.$$

To define the boundary paths in Λ, we consider the following special k-graphs.

Example 2.3 ([16]). Given $m \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})^k$, let $\Omega_{k,m}$ be the category

$$\Omega_{k,m} := \Big\{(p,q) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k : p \leq q \leq m\Big\}$$

with $r(p,q) := (p,p)$ and $s(p,q) := (q,q)$. Then $\Omega_{k,m}$ equipped with the degree functor $d(p,q) = q - p$ is a k-graph. We usually denote $\Omega_{k,m}$ by Ω_k whenever $m = (\infty, \dots, \infty)$.

Corresponding to each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we can define a degree-preserving functor $x_\lambda : \Omega_{k,d(\lambda)} \to \Lambda$ such that $x_\lambda(p,q) = \lambda(p,q)$ for all $p \leq q \leq d(\lambda)$. Then the range of x_λ is equal to the set $\{\lambda(p,q) : p \leq q \leq d(\lambda)\}$ of subpaths of λ in Λ. Conversely, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and graph morphism $x : \Omega_{k,m} \to \Lambda$, we have $x = x_{x(0,m)}$. So, there is a one-to-on correspondence between the graph morphisms $x : \Omega_{k,m} \to \Lambda$ and the elements of Λ^m.

With this idea in mind, we recall the boundary paths of Λ. Following [15, Definition 5.10], a *boundary path* in Λ is a graph morphism $x : \Omega_{k,m} \to \Lambda$ such that for all $(p,p) \in \Omega^0_{k,m}$ and all $E \in x(p,p)\mathrm{FE}(\Lambda)$, there exists $\mu \in E$ with $x(p, p + d(\mu)) = \mu$. We denote $\partial\Lambda$ the set of all boundary paths in Λ. The range map of Λ can be extended naturally to $\partial\Lambda$ via $r(x) := x(0,0)$. For any $x \in \partial\Lambda$, we also define $d(x) := m \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})^k$ *the degree of* x. If Λ is both locally convex and row-finite, then $\partial\Lambda$ coincides with the set

$$\Lambda^{\leq\infty} := \{x : \Omega_{k,m} \to \Lambda : p \leq d(x) \text{ and } p_i = d(x)_i \text{ impliy } x(p,p)\Lambda^{e_i} = \emptyset\}$$

introduced in [23]. However, we have $\Lambda^{\leq\infty} \subseteq \partial\Lambda$ with possibly nonequal in general. Recall also from [15, Lemma 5.13] that $v\partial\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ for all $v \in \Lambda$.

For every $x \in \partial\Lambda$ and $n \leq d(x)$, *the shift of* x is the boundary path $\sigma^n(x) \in \partial\Lambda$ such that $d(\sigma^n(x)) = d(x) - n$ and $\sigma^n(x)(p,q) := x(n+p, n+q)$ for $p \leq q \leq d(x) - n$. Notice that the factorisation property implies $x(0,n)\sigma^n(x) = x$.

3. Cofinality

Cofinality and aperiodicity are two key properties to characterize simple graph algebras and their generalizations. In this section, we focus on the cofinal k-graphs and give some descriptions in Theorem 3.8 for ideal structure of associated Kumjian-Pask algebras.

Definition 3.1 ([29, Definition 8.4]). Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph. We say that Λ is *cofinal* if for every $v \in \Lambda^0$ and $x \in \partial\Lambda$, there exists $n \leq d(x)$ such that $v\Lambda x(n) \neq \emptyset$.

If Λ is a locally convex row-finite k-graph, using [5, Theorem 5.1] and [10, Theorem 9.4], basic graded ideals of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ may be completely characterized by saturated hereditary subsets of Λ^0. However, in the non-row-finite case it seems that the structure of basic graded ideals of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is more complicated (see [32, 18] for Leavitt path algebras and [29] for higher-rank graph C^*-algebras). In Theorem 3.8 below, we see that the confinality of Λ is equivalent to having only trivial saturated hereditary sets.

Definition 3.2. Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph.

(1) A subset $H \subseteq \Lambda^0$ is called to be *hereditary* if $v \in H$ and $v\Lambda w \neq \emptyset$, we then have $w \in H$.
(2) A subset $H \subseteq \Lambda^0$ is called to be *saturated* if $E \subseteq v\mathrm{FE}(\Lambda)$ with $s(E) \subseteq H$, we then have $v \in H$.

For convenience, we write $v \leq w$ whenever $v\Lambda w \neq \emptyset$; that means, there exists a path $\lambda \in \Lambda$ from w to v. Recall from [29, Lemma 3.2] that for any hereditary set $H \subseteq \Lambda^0$, the smallest saturated hereditary subset of Λ^0 containing H is

$$\overline{H} := H \cup \left\{ v \in \Lambda^0 \setminus H : \text{ there exists } E \in v\mathrm{FE}(\Lambda) \text{ with } s(E) \subseteq H \right\}.$$

Moreover, if H is hereditary and saturated, the restricted category $\Lambda\backslash\Lambda H := (\Lambda^0 \setminus H, \Lambda \setminus \Lambda H, r, s, d)$ is a k-graph [29, Lemma 4.1].

Lemma 3.3. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph and let H be a saturated hereditary subset of Λ^0. If $E \in v\mathrm{FE}(\Lambda)$ and $v \notin H$, then $E' := E \setminus EH \in v\mathrm{FE}(\Lambda \setminus \Lambda H)$.*

Proof. Notice that, since $v \notin H$, we have $E' \neq \emptyset$ by the saturation property. So, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda\backslash\Lambda H}(\lambda; E') \neq \emptyset$ for all $\lambda \in v(\Lambda \setminus \Lambda H)$. To do this, fix arbitrary $\lambda \in v(\Lambda \setminus \Lambda H)$. Lemma 2.3 of [30] says that the set $\mathrm{Ext}_\Lambda(\lambda; E) \subseteq s(\lambda)\Lambda$ is finite and exhaustive. Since $s(\lambda) \notin H$, the saturation property of H gives some $\lambda' \in \mathrm{Ext}_\Lambda(\lambda; E)$ such that $s(\lambda') \notin H$. Thus we may factorise $\lambda\lambda' = \nu\beta$ with $\nu \in E$, $\beta \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda H$ and $d(\lambda\lambda') = d(\lambda) \vee d(\nu)$. As $s(\nu) \notin H$ and $\nu \in E' = E \setminus EH$, we conclude that $\lambda' \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda\backslash\Lambda H}(\lambda; E')$, as desired. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph and let H be a saturated hereditary subset of Λ^0. Suppose that $\{T_\lambda, T_{\lambda^*} : \lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda H\}$ is a Kumjian-Pask $(\Lambda \setminus \Lambda H)$-family in an R-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. If we set*

$$S_\lambda := \begin{cases} T_\lambda & \lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda H \\ 0 & \lambda \in \Lambda H \end{cases} \quad \text{and } S_{\lambda^*} := \begin{cases} T_{\lambda^*} & \lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda H \\ 0 & \lambda \in \Lambda H, \end{cases}$$

then $\{S_\lambda, S_{\lambda^*} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a Kumjian-Pask Λ-family in $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. For the family $\{S_\lambda, S_{\lambda^*} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$, (KP1) is trivial, whereas (KP2) is a straightforward implication of the hereditary property of H.

For (KP3), fix $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda$ and consider the following two cases:

Case 1: Either μ or ν belongs to ΛH. So, assume $\mu \in \Lambda H$. Then $S_\mu = S_{\mu^*} = 0$ and for every $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda^{\min}(\mu, \nu)$ we have $\mu\alpha \in \Lambda H$ by the hereditariness. Thus, $s(\alpha) \in H$, $S_\alpha = 0$, and $S_\alpha S_{\beta^*} = 0$, and we get

$$\sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Lambda^{\min}(\mu,\nu)} S_\alpha S_{\beta^*} = 0 = S_{\mu^*} S_\nu.$$

The case $\nu \in \Lambda H$ is similar.

Case 2: Both μ,ν belong to $\Lambda\setminus\Lambda H$. Since $\{T_\lambda, T_{\lambda^*} : \lambda\in\Lambda\setminus\Lambda H\}$ is a Kumjian-Pask $(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda H)$-family, we have

$$T_{\mu^*}T_\nu = \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda H)^{\min}(\mu,\nu)} T_\alpha T_{\beta^*}$$

by (KP3). Moreover, for every $(\alpha,\beta)\in\Lambda^{\min}(\mu,\nu)\setminus(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda H)^{\min}(\mu,\nu)$, we have $\mu\alpha\in \mathrm{MCE}_\Lambda(\mu,\nu)\setminus\mathrm{MCE}_{\Lambda\setminus\Lambda H}(\mu,\nu)$ which follows $\mu\alpha\in\Lambda H$ and $\alpha\in\Lambda H$. So $S_\alpha=0$ by definition. Thus, we may compute

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Lambda^{\min}(\mu,\nu)} S_\alpha S_{\beta^*} &= \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda H)^{\min}(\mu,\nu)} S_\alpha S_{\beta^*}\\ &= \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in(\Lambda\setminus\Lambda H)^{\min}(\mu,\nu)} T_\alpha T_{\beta^*}\\ &= T_{\mu^*}T_\nu = S_{\mu^*}S_\nu,\end{aligned}$$

and (KP3) holds for $S_{\mu^*}S_\nu$.

For (KP4), fix $E\in \mathrm{FE}(\Lambda)$ and write $v:=r(E)$. If $v\in H$, then $\lambda\in\Lambda H$ for every $\lambda\in E$, and (KP4) trivially holds for E. So, suppose $v\notin H$. Lemma 3.3 implies that $E':=E\setminus EH$ is a finite and exhaustive set in the k-graph $\Lambda\setminus\Lambda H$. We may use (KP4) for T_λ's to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{\lambda\in E}(S_v - S_\lambda S_{\lambda^*}) &= \left(\prod_{\lambda\in E'}(S_v - S_\lambda S_{\lambda^*})\right)\left(\prod_{\lambda\in EH}(S_v - S_\lambda S_{\lambda^*})\right)\\ &= \left(\prod_{\lambda\in E'}(T_v - T_\lambda T_{\lambda^*})\right)(T_v) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Given a subset $H\subseteq\Lambda^0$, we write I_H for the (two-sided) ideal of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ generated by $\{s_v : v\in H\}$. Furthermore, for an ideal I of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ and $r\in R$, we define $H_{I,r}:=\{v\in\Lambda^0 : rs_v\in I\}$. If $r=1_R$, $H_{I,r}$ will be denoted by H_I. The proof of next lemma is similar to that of [29, Lemma 3.3].

Lemma 3.5. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph and let R be a unital commutative ring. If I is an ideal of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$, then $H_{I,r}$ is a hereditary and saturated subset of Λ^0 for every $r\in R$.*

The following is [5, Lemma 5.4] for finitely aligned k-graphs.

Lemma 3.6. *Let H be a hereditary subset of Λ^0. Then*

$$I_H = \mathrm{span}\{s_\mu s_{\nu^*} : \mu,\nu\in\Lambda,\ s(\mu)=s(\nu)\in H\}, \tag{3.1}$$

that is a graded ideal of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ and we have $H_{I_H}=\overline{H}$.

Proof. Denote the right-hand side of equation 3.1 by J. Since $H\subseteq H_{I_H}$, we have $s_\mu s_{\nu^*} = s_\mu s_{s(\mu)} s_{\nu^*}\in I_H$ for every $\mu,\nu\in\Lambda$ with $s(\mu)=s(\nu)\in H$, and hence $J\subseteq I_H$.

For the reverse, since J contains the generators $\{s_v : v \in H\}$, it suffices to show that J is an ideal of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$. For this, fix some $s_\mu s_{\nu^*}$ with $s(\mu) = s(\nu) \in H$ and some $s_\lambda s_{\sigma^*} \in \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$. Using (KP3), we get

$$s_\mu s_{\nu^*} s_\lambda s_{\sigma^*} = s_\mu (s_{\nu^*} s_\lambda) s_{\sigma^*} = \sum_{(\rho,\tau)\in\Lambda^{\min}(\nu,\lambda)} s_{\mu\rho} s_{(\sigma\tau)^*}. \tag{3.2}$$

Since H is hereditary, the facts $r(\rho) = s(\mu)$ and $r(\tau) = s(\sigma)$ yield that $s(\rho), s(\tau) \in H$. Thus each nonzero summand in (3.2) lies in J, so does $s_\mu s_{\nu^*} s_\lambda s_{\sigma^*}$. By a same argument, we have also $s_\lambda s_{\sigma^*} s_\mu s_{\nu^*} \in J$. Therefore, J is an ideal of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ and equation 3.1 follows. Note that I_H is a graded ideal as generated by homogenous elements.

Now we show $H_{I_H} = \overline{H}$. Since $H \subseteq H_{I_H}$, Lemma 3.5 gives $\overline{H} \subseteq H_{I_H}$. To see $H_{I_H} \subseteq \overline{H}$, we show that $v \notin \overline{H}$ implies $s_v \notin I_H$ for every $v \in \Lambda^0$. To do this, consider the k-graph $\Lambda \setminus \Lambda\overline{H}$ and let $\mathrm{KP}(\Lambda \setminus \Lambda\overline{H})$ generated by a Kumjian-Pask Λ-family $\{t_\lambda, t_{\lambda^*} : \lambda \in \Lambda\overline{H}\}$. Using Lemma 3.4, we may extended this family to a Kumjian-Pask Λ-family $\{T_\lambda, T_{\lambda^*} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Then, by the universality, there is a homomorphism

$$\begin{cases} \phi : \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \mathrm{KP}(\Lambda \setminus \Lambda\overline{H}) \\ \qquad r s_\mu s_{\nu^*} \longmapsto r T_\mu T_{\nu^*} \qquad (\mu,\nu \in \Lambda \text{ and } s(\mu) = s(\nu)). \end{cases}$$

Since $\phi(s_v) = 0$ for $v \in H$, ϕ vanishes on I_H. On the other hand, for each $v \in \Lambda^0 \setminus \overline{H}$, we have $\phi(s_v) = t_v \neq 0$ and hence $s_v \notin \ker\phi \supseteq I_H$. Now the result follows. □

We will also need the next lemma to prove Theorem 3.8. To prove it, we use some terminology of [24, Section 3]. Fix a finite set $E \subseteq \Lambda$. By [24, Lemma 3.2], there exists a finite set $F \subseteq \Lambda$ containing E which satisfies

$$\lambda,\mu,\rho,\tau \in F,\ d(\lambda) = d(\mu),\ d(\rho) = d(\tau),\ s(\lambda) = s(\mu),\ \text{and } s(\rho) = s(\tau) \quad \text{imply } \left\{\lambda\alpha, \tau\beta : (\alpha,\beta) \in \Lambda^{\min}(\mu,\rho)\right\}. \tag{3.3}$$

We define the finite sets

$$\Pi E := \bigcap \{F \subseteq \Lambda : E \subseteq F \text{ and } F \text{ satisfies (3.3)}\}$$

and

$$\Pi E \times_{d,s} \Pi E := \{(\lambda,\mu) \in \Pi E \times \Pi E : d(\lambda) = d(\mu), s(\lambda) = s(\mu)\}.$$

Then similar to [24, Lemma 3.2], we may show that

$$M^s_{\Pi E} := \mathrm{span}_R \{s_\lambda s_{\mu^*} : (\lambda,\mu) \in \Pi E \times_{d,s} \Pi E\}$$

is a finite-dimensional subalgebra of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)_0$. Recall also from [11, Lemma 4.2] that $M^s_{\Pi E}$ is spanned by $\{\Theta(s)^{\Pi E}_{\lambda,\mu} : (\lambda,\mu) \in \Pi E \times_{d,s} \Pi E\}$, where

$$\Theta(s)^{\Pi E}_{\lambda,\mu} := s_\lambda \left(\prod_{\substack{\lambda\nu \in \Pi E \\ d(\nu)\neq 0}} \left(s_{s(\lambda)} - s_{\lambda\nu} s_{(\lambda\nu)^*}\right) \right) s_{\mu^*}.$$

Lemma 3.7 (See [5, Proposition 6.3]). *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph and R a unital commutative ring. If J is an ideal of R, then we have the following:*

(1) If $rs_v \in J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$, then $r \in J$.

(2) If I is an ideal of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ such that $J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda) \subseteq I$, then the action

$$(r+J)(x+I) := rx + I \qquad (\text{for } r \in R,\ x \in \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda))$$

forms the quotient $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)/I$ as an R/J-algebra.

(3) We have

$$\frac{\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)}{J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)} \cong \mathrm{KP}_{\frac{R}{J}}(\Lambda)$$

as R/J-algebras.

Proof. For (1), suppose that $rs_v \in J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ for some $r \in R$ and $v \in \Lambda^0$. If $rs_v = 0$, we must have $r = 0 \in J$ by [11, Theorem 3.7(b)]. So assume $rs_v \neq 0$. Since $rs_v \in (J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda))_0 = J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)_0$, there is a finite span

$$rs_v = \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in F} r_{\alpha,\beta} s_\alpha s_{\beta^*}$$

for rs_v, where $r_{\alpha,\beta} \in J$ and $d(\alpha) = d(\beta)$ for every $(\alpha,\beta) \in F$. Thus we may consider the matricial subalgebra $M^s_{\Pi F}$ of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)_0$ and see that $rs_v \in JM^s_{\Pi F}$. Recall from [11, Lemma 4.2] that $\{\Theta(s)^{\Pi F}_{\lambda,\mu} : (\lambda,\mu) \in \Pi F \times_{d,s} \Pi F\}$ forms a set of matrix units which spans $M^s_{\Pi F}$. Hence one can write

$$rs_v = \sum_{(\lambda,\mu)\in \Pi F\times_{d,s}\Pi F} r_{\lambda,\mu}\Theta(s)^{\Pi F}_{\lambda,\mu} \qquad (r_{\lambda,\mu} \in J).$$

In particular, we have $r(\lambda) = r(\mu) = v$ for every $r_{\lambda,\mu} \neq 0$. Fix some $(\sigma,\gamma) \in \Pi F \times_{d,s} \Pi F$ with $r(\lambda) = r(\mu) = v$; since $rs_v \neq 0$, such (σ,γ) exists. We then compute

$$\begin{aligned}
r\Theta(s)^{\Pi F}_{\gamma,\gamma} &= \left(\Theta(s)^{\Pi F}_{\sigma,\gamma}\right)^* rs_v \left(\Theta(s)^{\Pi F}_{\sigma,\gamma}\right) \\
&= \left(\Theta(s)^{\Pi F}_{\sigma,\gamma}\right)^* \left(\sum_{(\lambda,\mu)} r_{\lambda,\mu}\Theta(s)^{\Pi F}_{\lambda,\mu}\right) \Theta(s)^{\Pi F}_{\sigma,\gamma} \\
&= \sum_{(\lambda,\mu)} r_{\lambda,\mu} \left(\Theta(s)^{\Pi F}_{\sigma,\gamma}\right)^* \left(\Theta(s)^{\Pi F}_{\lambda,\mu}\Theta(s)^{\Pi F}_{\sigma,\gamma}\right) \\
&= \sum_{(\lambda,\mu)} r_{\lambda,\mu} \left(\Theta(s)^{\Pi F}_{\sigma,\gamma}\right)^* \left(\delta_{\mu,\sigma}\Theta(s)^{\Pi F}_{\lambda,\gamma}\right) \\
&= \sum_{(\lambda,\mu)} r_{\lambda,\mu}\delta_{\sigma,\lambda}\delta_{\mu,\sigma}\Theta(s)^{\Pi F}_{\gamma,\gamma} \\
&= r_{\sigma,\sigma}\Theta(s)^{\Pi F}_{\gamma,\gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(r - r_{\sigma,\sigma})\Theta(s)^{\Pi F}_{\gamma,\gamma} = 0$ which follows $r = r_{\sigma,\sigma} \in J$ because $\Theta(s)^{\Pi F}_{\gamma,\gamma} \neq 0$. This proves statement (1).

For (2), it suffices to show that the action of R/J on $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)/I$ is well-defined. Indeed, if $r+J=s+J$ and $x+I=y+I$, then

$$rx-sy=r(x-y)+(r-s)y\in I+J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)\subseteq I.$$

So, we get $rx+I=sy+I$, as desired.

Finally we prove statement (3). Let $\{t_\lambda, t_{\lambda^*}:\lambda\in\Lambda\}$ be a Kumjian-Pask Λ-family generating $\mathrm{KP}_{R/J}(\Lambda)$ and view the quotient $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)/J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ as an R/J-algebra by part (2). Since $\{s_\lambda+J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda), s_{\lambda^*}+J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda):\lambda\in\Lambda\}$ is a Kumjian-Pask Λ-family, the universality gives a homomorphism $\phi:\mathrm{KP}_{R/J}(\Lambda)\to\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)/J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ such that $\phi((r+J)t_\lambda)=rs_\lambda+J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ and $\phi((r+J)t_{\lambda^*})=rs_{\lambda^*}+J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$. Note that $J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is a graded ideal of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$, so $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)/J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is $\mathbb{Z}^k$-graded with the grading components

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)}{J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)}\right)_n:=\frac{\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)_n}{J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)}\qquad(n\in\mathbb{Z}^k).$$

Thus ϕ is a graded homomorphism because each grading component $\mathrm{KP}_{R/J}(\Lambda)_n$ is embedded into $(\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)/J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda))_n$. Moreover, part (1) implies that $\phi((r+J)t_v)\neq 0$ for every $v\in\Lambda^0$ and $r\in R\setminus J$. Now apply the graded uniqueness theorem [11, Theorem 4.1] to obtain the injectivity of ϕ. As ϕ is surjective either, we conclude that it is an R/J-algebra isomorphism from $\mathrm{KP}_{R/J}(\Lambda)$ onto $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)/J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$. □

Theorem 3.8. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph and R a unital commutative ring. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) Λ is cofinal.
(2) The only saturated hereditary subsets of Λ^0 are $\emptyset$ and Λ^0.
(3) Every graded ideal of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is of the form $J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ for some ideal J of R.
(4) For every ideal I of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$, there exists an ideal J of R such that $I\cap\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)_0\subseteq J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)\subseteq I$.
(5) For every ideal I of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ containing some s_v, where $v\in\Lambda^0$, we have $I=\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$.

Proof. (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (2): Let Λ be cofinal and suppose on the contrary that $H\neq\emptyset,\Lambda^0$ is a nontrivial saturated hereditary subset of Λ^0. The saturation and hereditary properties of H are equivalent to the conditions (i) and (ii) of [19, Lemma 5.2] for $K:=\Lambda^0\setminus H$, respectively. Since $\Lambda^0\setminus H\neq\emptyset$, [19, Lemma 5.2] gives some $x\in\partial\Lambda$ such that $x(n)\in\Lambda^0\setminus H$ for every $n\leq d(x)$. Take some $v\in H$. By the cofinality, there exists $E\in x(0)\mathrm{FE}(\Lambda)$ such that $v\Lambda s(\alpha)\neq\emptyset$ for every $\alpha\in E$. Since $x\in\partial\Lambda$, there is $\alpha\in E$ such that $x(0,d(\alpha))=\alpha$. In particular, $x(d(\alpha))=s(\alpha)$ and $v\Lambda x(d(\alpha))\neq\emptyset$. On the other hand, we have $v\in H$ and so $x(d(\alpha))\in H$ by the hereditary property. This contradicts the choice of x.

(2) $\Longrightarrow$ (1): Suppose that $\emptyset$ and Λ^0 are the only saturated hereditary subsets of Λ^0. Take some $v\in H$ and $x\in\partial\Lambda$. If we define $H_v:=\{s(\lambda):\lambda\in$

$v\Lambda\}$, then H_v is hereditary and by [29, Lemma 3.2] its saturation is

$$\overline{H_v} = H \cup \left\{w \in \Lambda^0 : \text{there exists } E \in w\mathrm{FE}(\Lambda) \text{ such that } s(E) \subseteq H_v\right\}.$$

Apply statement (2) to get $\overline{H_v} = \Lambda^0$. So $r(x) \in \overline{H_v}$ and there exists a finite exhaustive set E with $s(E) \subseteq H_v$. Note that if $r(x) \in H$, then $\{r(x)\}$ is finite and exhaustive. Since x is a boundary path, there is $\mu \in E$ such that $x(0, d(\mu)) = \mu$. In particular, we have $\mu \in r(x)\Lambda s(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in v\Lambda$. Hence, $\lambda \in v\Lambda s(\lambda) = v\Lambda s(\mu)$ and so $v\Lambda x(d(\mu)) \neq \emptyset$. As v and x were arbitrary, we conclude the cofinality of Λ.

(2) $\Longrightarrow$ (3): Let I be a graded ideal of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$. If we set

$$J := \{r \in R : rs_v \in I \text{ for some } v \in \Lambda^0\},$$

then J is an ideal of R. We show that $I = J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$. Note that for every $r \in R$, the set $H_{I,r} = \{v \in \Lambda^0 : rs_v \in I\}$ is saturated and hereditary. If $r \in J$, then $H_{I,r} \neq \emptyset$ and hence we have $H_{I,r} = \Lambda^0$ and $r\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda) \subseteq I$. This follows $J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda) \subseteq I$.

For the reverse containment, we consider the quotient map

$$\begin{cases} \phi : \frac{\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)}{J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)} \to \frac{\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)}{I} \\ x + J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda) \mapsto x + I \qquad \text{for } x \in \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda) \end{cases}$$

which is well-defined because $J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda) \subseteq I$. Let $\pi : \mathrm{KP}_{R/J}(\Lambda) \to \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)/J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ be the isomorphism of Lemma 3.7(3). Note that if $\{t_\lambda, t_{\lambda^*} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a generating family for $\mathrm{KP}_{R/J}(\Lambda)$, we have $\phi \circ \pi((r + J)t_v) = rs_v + I \neq I$ for all $r \in R \setminus J$ and $v \in \Lambda^0$. Also, since both I and $J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ are graded ideals of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$, then $I/J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is a graded ideal of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)/J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda) \cong \mathrm{KP}_{R/J}(\Lambda)$. Hence the quotient

$$\frac{\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)}{I} \cong \frac{\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)/J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)}{I/J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)}$$

is a graded R/J-algebra and $\phi \circ \pi$ is a graded homomorphism. Now we may apply the gauge invariant uniqueness theorem [11, Theorem 4.1] for $\phi \circ \pi : \mathrm{KP}_{R/J}(\Lambda) \to \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)/I$ to conclude that $\phi \circ \pi$ is injective. Therefore, ϕ is injective, and we get $I = J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ as desired.

(3) $\Longrightarrow$ (4): Suppose that I is an ideal of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ and I_0 is the ideal of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ generated by $I \cap \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)_0$. Then I_0 is a graded ideal because generated by homogeneous elements. By statement (3), there exists an ideal J of R such that $I_0 = J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$, and so, we have $I \cap \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)_0 \subseteq J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda) = I_0 \subseteq I$.

(4) $\Longrightarrow$ (5): If I is an ideal of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ containing some s_v, then $H_I := \{w \in \Lambda^0 : s_w \in I\}$ is a nonempty saturated hereditary subset of Λ^0 and we have $I_{H_I} \subseteq I$. Since I_{H_I} is a graded ideal by Lemma 3.5, statement (4) implies that there is an ideal J of R so that $J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda) = I_{H_I}$. But $1_R.s_v = s_v \in I_{H_I} = J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ which follows $1_R \in J$ by Lemma 3.7(1). Hence $J = R$ and we get $I = I_{H_I} = \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$.

(5) $\Longrightarrow$ (2): Suppose that H is a nonempty saturated hereditary subset of Λ^0. If $v \in H$, then I_H is an ideal of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ containing s_v. So we have $I_H = \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ by statement (5) which follows $H_{I_H} = \Lambda^0$. On the other hand, Lemma 3.5 says that $H_{I_H} = H$ and hence $H = \Lambda^0$. It follows (2) and completes the proof. □

4. Aperiodicity and generalized cycles

There are several aperiodicity conditions in the literature which are equivalent (see [28, Proposition 2.11] and [19, Proposition 3.6]). Here, we consider the following from [25, 28].

Definition 4.1. Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph. For $v \in \Lambda^0$ and $m \neq n \in \mathbb{N}^k$, we say Λ has a *local periodicity* m, n *at* v if for every $x \in v\partial\Lambda$ we have $m \vee n \leq d(x)$ and $\sigma^m(x) = \sigma^n(x)$. We say that Λ is *aperiodic* if Λ has no local periodicity at all $v \in \Lambda^0$; that is, for every $v \in \Lambda^0$ and $m \neq n \in \mathbb{N}^k$, there exists $x \in v\partial\Lambda$ such that either $m \vee n \not\leq d(x)$ or $\sigma^m(x) \neq \sigma^n(x)$.

In [13], Evans introduces the notion of generalized cycle for higher-rank graphs. In spite of ordinary 1-graphs, we could not completely describe the aperiodicity of k-graphs by properties of generalized cycles. However, we give some relations between generalized cycles and the aperiodicity in Corollary 4.5 below.

Definition 4.2 (See [13, 14]). Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph. A *generalized cycle* in Λ is a pair (μ, ν) of distinct paths in Λ such that $s(\mu) = s(\nu)$, $r(\mu) = r(\nu)$ and $\mathrm{MCE}(\mu\tau, \nu) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\tau \in s(\mu)\Lambda$. A path $\tau \in s(\nu)\Lambda$ is called an *entrance* for (μ, ν) whenever $\mathrm{MCE}(\mu, \nu\tau) = \emptyset$.

We use [14, Lemma 3.2] to prove Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 below, which says that a pair (μ, ν) with $s(\mu) = s(\nu)$ and $r(\mu) = r(\nu)$ is a generalized cycle if and only if the set $\mathrm{Ext}\,(\mu; \{\nu\})$ is finite and exhaustive.

The proof of next lemma is analogous with that of [14, Lemma 3.7] with a small modification.

Lemma 4.3. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph. If (μ, ν) is a generalized cycle in Λ, then $s_\mu s_{\mu^*} \leq s_\nu s_{\nu^*}$ (in the sense that $(s_\mu s_{\mu^*})(s_\nu s_{\nu^*}) = (s_\nu s_{\nu^*})(s_\mu s_{\mu^*}) = s_\mu s_{\mu^*}$). Furthermore, (μ, ν) has no entrances if and only if $s_\mu s_{\mu^*} = s_\nu s_{\nu^*}$.*

Lemma 4.4. *Let Λ have a local periodicity m, n at v. Then for every $\mu \in v\Lambda^{m\vee n}$, there is a unique $\nu \in v\Lambda^{n-m+m\vee n}$ such that (μ, ν) is a generalized cycle. Moreover, such generalized cycles have no entrances.*

Proof. Fix $\mu \in v\Lambda^{m\vee n}$ and let $x \in s(\mu)\partial\Lambda$. Then $\mu x \in v\partial\Lambda$ by [15, Lemma 5.13]. Note that the local periodicity implies $\sigma^m(\mu x) = \sigma^n(\mu x)$, and so, $d(\mu x) - m = d(\mu x) - n$. In particular, we have $d(\mu x)_i = \infty$ for each index i with $m_i \neq n_i$. We set $\nu := \mu x(0, n - m + m \vee n)$ and show that (μ, ν) is a generalized cycle without entrances. Again, the local periodicity gives that

$$\nu = \mu x(0, n)\mu x(n, n - m + m \vee n) = \mu x(0, n)\mu(m, m \vee n). \tag{4.1}$$

Hence, $s(\nu) = s(\mu)$ and $r(\nu) = r(\mu)$. To see (μ, ν) is a generalized cycle without without entrances, we show that $\mathrm{MCE}(\mu\tau, \nu) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathrm{MCE}(\mu, \nu\tau) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\tau \in s(\mu)\Lambda$. For this, take arbitrary $\tau \in s(\mu)\Lambda$. Let $y \in s(\tau)\partial\Lambda$ and define $z := \tau y \in \partial\Lambda$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mu z &= \mu z(0,n)\sigma^n(\mu z)\\ &= \mu z(0,n)\sigma^m(\mu z) = \mu z(0,n)\mu z(m, m\vee n)\sigma^{m\vee n}(\mu z)\\ &= \mu(0,n)\mu(m, m\vee n)z = \nu z \qquad \text{(by 4.1)}.\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\mu z(0, m\vee n + d(\tau)) = \mu\tau y(0, m\vee n + d(\tau)) = \mu\tau,$$

$$\mu z(0, n - m + m\vee n) = \nu z(0, n - m + m\vee n) = \nu$$

and hence, $\mu z(0, d(\mu\tau)\vee d(\nu)) \in \mathrm{MCE}(\mu\tau, \nu)$.

Similarly, since $\mu z = \nu z = \nu\tau y$, we have

$$\mu z(0, (m\vee n)\vee(d(\tau)+n-m+m\vee n)) = \mu z(0, (n-m+d(\tau))+m\vee n) \in \mathrm{MCE}(\nu\tau, \mu).$$

Therefore, both $\mathrm{MCE}(\mu\tau, \nu)$ and $\mathrm{MCE}(\nu\tau, \mu)$ are nonempty which imply that (μ, ν) is a generalized cycle without any entrance.

To complete the proof, we show that such morphism ν is unique in $v\Lambda^{n-m+m\vee n}$. Indeed, if (μ, ν) and (μ, ν') are two generalized cycles such that $\nu, \nu' \in v\Lambda^{n-m+m\vee n}$, then $s_\nu s_{\nu^*} = s_\mu s_{\mu^*} = s_{\nu'} s_{\nu'^*}$ by Lemma 4.3. This turns out $0 \neq s_{\nu^*} s_{\nu'} = \delta_{\nu,\nu'} s_{s(\nu)}$, and so $\nu = \nu'$ as desired. □

As usual, we say $\mu \in \Lambda^{\neq 0}$ is a *cycle* whenever $s(\mu) = r(\mu)$. Note that if μ is a cycle, then $(\mu, \{s(\mu)\})$ would be a generalized cycle in Λ. Following [14], a cycle $\mu \in \Lambda$ is called an *initial cycle* if we have $r(\mu)\Lambda^{e_i} = \emptyset$ whenever $d(\mu)_i = 0$.

Corollary 4.5. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph. Then*

(1) If every generalized cycle has an entrance, Λ is aperiodic.
(2) If Λ is aperiodic, every initial cycle in Λ has an entrance.

Proof. Statement (1) follows from Lemma 4.4. For (2), we prove the contrapositive statement. So, assume μ is an initial cycle with no entrances. Then by the factorisation property, there exists a unique functor μ^∞ such that $\mu^\infty(ld(\mu), (l+1)d(\mu)) = \mu$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $r(\mu)\Lambda^{e_i} = \emptyset$ whenever $d(\mu)_i = 0$, similar to proof of [23, Lemma 2.11] we may show that the graph morphism μ^∞ is a boundary path. Take an arbitrary $x \in r(\mu)\partial\Lambda$. As μ has no entrances, we must have $d(\mu) \leq d(x)$ and $\mathrm{MCE}(\mu, x(0, d(\mu))) \neq \emptyset$, which follows $x(0, d(\mu)) = \mu$ and $x = \mu\sigma^{d(\mu)}(x)$. An inductive argument shows also that $x = \mu^l\sigma^{ld(\mu)}(x)$ for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence $x = \mu^\infty$. Since x was arbitrary, we conclude that $r(\mu)\partial\Lambda = \{\mu^\infty\}$, and therefore, Λ has a local periodicity 0, $d(\mu)$ at $r(\mu)$. □

We know known that Λ is aperiodic and cofinal if and only if $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is basically simple (see [5, Theorem 5.14], [10, Theorem 8.5], and [11, Theorem

9.3]). In the following, we describe the ideal structure of basically simple Kumjian-Pask algebras.

Proposition 4.6. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph and R a unital commutative ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) Λ is aperiodic and cofinal.
(2) Λ is aperiodic and the only saturated hereditary subsets of Λ^0 are $\emptyset$ and Λ^0.
(3) Every ideal of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is of the form $J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ for some ideal J of R.

Proof. The implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) follows from Theorem 3.8. For (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3), let I be an ideal of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$. If we define

$$J := \{r \in R : rs_v \in I \text{ for some } v \in \Lambda^0\},$$

then J is an ideal of R. Similar to the proof of (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) in Theorem 3.8, we can show $J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda) \subseteq I$. Notice that we have $rs_v \notin I$ for every $r \in R \setminus J$ and $v \in \Lambda^0$. Consider the quotient homomorphism $q : \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)/J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda) \to \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)/I$ and let us denote $\pi : \mathrm{KP}_{R/J}(\Lambda) \to \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)/J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ the isomorphism of Lemma 3.7(3). If $\{t_\lambda, t_{\lambda^*} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a generating Λ-family for $\mathrm{KP}_{R/J}(\Lambda)$, we have $q \circ \pi((r + J)t_v) = q(rs_v + J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)) \neq I$ for all $r \in R \setminus J$ and $v \in \Lambda^0$. Then the Cuntz-Krieger uniqueness theorem [11, Theorem 8.1] implies that $q \circ \pi$ is injective. Thus, q is injective either, and we get $I = J\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$, as desired.

(3) $\Longrightarrow$ (1). Suppose that the statement (3) holds. By Theorem 3.8(3), Λ is cofinal. So, we show that Λ is aperiodic. Let $\pi_s : \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda) \to \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{F}_R(\partial\Lambda))$ be the boundary path representation of [11, Definition 3.9]. [11, Proposition 3.6] implies that $\pi_s(rs_v) \neq 0$ for every $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$ and $v \in \Lambda^0$, and so, the ideal $\ker \pi_s$ contains no elements rs_v for $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$ and $v \in \Lambda^0$. By statement (3), we must have $\ker \pi_s = (0)$, and hence π_s is injective. Now we apply [11, Corolary 8.3] to obtain the aperiodicity of Λ. □

5. Purely infinite simple Kumjian-Pask algebras

In this section, we use the notion of generalized cycles to give some conditions for R and Λ in Theorem 5.4 under which the associated Kumjian-Pask algebra $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is purely infinite simple. Since every ordinary cycle in Λ may be considered as a generalized cycle, this result is the extension of [1, Theorem 11] and [17, Theorem 3.1] to Kumjian-Pask algebras.

Let A be a ring. Recall from [3, Definition 1.2] that an idempotent e in A is called *infinite* if eA is isomorphic to a proper direct summand of itself as right A-modules. We say that A is *purely infinite* if every nonzero right ideal of A contains an infinite idempotent. It is a consequence of [3, Theorem 1.6] that the definition of purely infinite simple rings is left-right symmetric.

We need the next lemma, Lemma 5.2, to prove Theorem 5.4 which is an extension of [5, Proposition 4.9] to finitely aligned k-graphs. However, it

seems that we cannot apply the computations of [5] in the non-row-finite setting. We use the theory of Steinberg algebras to prove Lemma 5.2.

Let us first briefly review from [11, Section 5] the construction of Steinberg algebra $A_R(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda)$ associated to a Kumjian-Pask algebra $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$. Fix a finitely aligned k-graph Λ. Associated to the boundary path space $\partial\Lambda$, we define the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_\Lambda$ such that

$$\mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda) := \partial\Lambda$$
$$\mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda) := \{(\lambda z, d(\lambda) - d(\mu), \mu z) : \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda, s(\lambda) = s(\mu), z \in s(\lambda)\partial\Lambda\},$$

and the range and source maps are defined by $r(x, m, y) := x$ and $s(x, m, y) := y$. Moreover, the composition and inversion are as follows

$$(x, m, y) \circ (y, n, z) := (x, m + n, z), \text{ and}$$
$$(x, m, y)^{-1} := (y, -m, x).$$

For every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and non-exhaustive set $G \subseteq s(\lambda)\Lambda$, write $Z(\lambda) := \lambda\partial\Lambda$ and

$$Z(\lambda \setminus G) := Z(\lambda) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{\nu \in G} Z(\lambda\nu) \right).$$

If $s(\mu) = s(\lambda)$ we define

$$Z(\lambda *_s \mu \setminus G) := Z(\lambda *_s \mu) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{\nu \in G} Z(\lambda\nu *_s \mu\nu) \right),$$

where

$$Z(\lambda *_s \mu) := \left\{ (x, d(\lambda) - d(\mu), y) : x \in Z(\lambda), y \in Z(\mu) \text{ and } \sigma^{d(\lambda)}(x) = \sigma^{d(\mu)}(y) \right\}.$$

Then the sets $Z(\lambda *_s \mu \setminus G)$ form a basis of compact open elements for a second-countable, Hausdorff topology on $\mathcal{G}_\Lambda$.

Definition 5.1. Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph and R a unital commutative ring. The R-algebra

$$A_R(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda) := \{f : \mathcal{G}_\Lambda \to R : f \text{ is locally constant with compact support}\}$$

with pointwise addition, scalar multiplication, and the convolution

$$f * g(t) := \sum_{\substack{s,t \in \mathcal{G}_\Lambda \\ r(t) = r(s)}} f(t) g(s^{-1}t)$$

is called the *Steinberg algebra associated to* Λ.

By [11, Proposition 5.4], there is an R-algebra isomorphism $\pi : \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda) \to A_R(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda)$ such that $\pi(s_\lambda) = 1_{Z(\lambda *_s s(\lambda))}$ and $\pi(s_{\lambda^*}) = 1_{Z(s(\lambda) *_s \lambda)}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let Λ be an aperiodic finitely aligned k-graph. Then for every nonzero element $a \in \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$, there exist $c, d \in \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ such that $cad = rs_v$ for some $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$ and $v \in \Lambda^0$.*

Proof. We use the argument of [9, Theorem 3.2]. Suppose $\pi : \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda) \to A_R(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda)$ is the isomorphism of [11, Proposition 5.4]. Then $\pi(a)$ is a nonzero element of $A_R(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda)$ and we may apply [9, Lemma 3.1] to get a compact open set B such that $f := 1_{B^{-1}} * \pi(a)$ is nonzero on $\mathcal{G}_\Lambda^{(0)}$. Since $\mathcal{G}_\Lambda^{(0)}$ is both open and closed, the function

$$f_0(t) := \begin{cases} f(t) & t \in \mathcal{G}_\Lambda^{(0)} \\ 0 & t \in \mathcal{G}_\Lambda \setminus \mathcal{G}_\Lambda^{(0)} \end{cases}$$

belongs to $A_R(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda)$. By [9, Lemma 2.2], we can write

$$f_0 = \sum_{D \in F} a_D 1_D$$

where F is a collection of mutually disjoint, nonempty compact open subsets of $\mathcal{G}_\Lambda^{(0)}$. Note that [11, Proposition 6.3] yields that the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_\Lambda$ is effective. Also, for $H := \mathrm{supp}(f - f_0)$, we have $H \subseteq \mathcal{G}_\Lambda \setminus \mathcal{G}_\Lambda^{(0)}$. Fix $D_0 \in F$ with $a_{D_0} \neq 0$. By [8, Lemma 3.1], there is a nonempty open set $U \subseteq D_0$ such that $UHU = r^{-1}(U) \cap H \cap s^{-1}(U) = \emptyset$. Since the sets $Z(\lambda \setminus G)$ form a basis of compact open elements for $\mathcal{G}_\Lambda^{(0)}$, there exists $Z(\lambda \setminus G) \subseteq U$, where G is a finite non-exhaustive subset of $s(\lambda)\Lambda$. Hence, for every $t \in \mathcal{G}_\Lambda$, we have

$$\left(1_{Z(\lambda\setminus G)} * (f - f_0) * 1_{Z(\lambda\setminus G)}\right)(t) = 1_{Z(\lambda\setminus G)}(r(t))(f - f_0)(t)1_{Z(\lambda\setminus G)}(s(t)) = 0.$$

Thus the linearity of convolution yields that

$$1_{Z(\lambda\setminus G)} * f * 1_{Z(\lambda\setminus G)} = 1_{Z(\lambda\setminus G)} * f_0 * 1_{Z(\lambda\setminus G)} = 1_{Z(\lambda\setminus G)}.$$

On the other hand, because G is not exhaustive, there exists $\mu \in s(\lambda)\Lambda$ such that $\mathrm{Ext}(\mu; G) = \emptyset$. Then, using (KP3), we have

$$s_{\mu^*} s_\nu = \sum_{(\rho,\tau) \in \Lambda^{\min}(\mu,\nu)} s_\rho s_{\tau^*} = 0$$

for every $\nu \in G$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\mu^*} \left(\prod_{\nu \in G} s_{s(\lambda)} - s_\nu s_{\nu^*} \right) s_\mu &= s_{\mu^*} \left(s_{s(\lambda)} + \sum_{F \subseteq G} (-1)^{|F|} \left(\prod_{\nu \in F} s_\nu s_{\nu^*} \right) \right) s_\mu \\ &= s_{\mu^*} s_{s(\lambda)} s_\mu = s_{s(\mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the isomorphism π maps $s_\lambda \left(\prod_{\nu \in G} (s_{s(\lambda)} - s_\nu s_{\nu^*}) \right) s_{\lambda^*}$ to the element $1_{Z(\lambda\setminus G)}$. Therefore, with $c := s_{(\lambda\mu)^*} \pi^{-1}(1_{Z(\lambda\setminus G)} * 1_{B^{-1}})$ and $d := \pi^{-1}(1_{Z(\lambda\setminus G)}) s_{\lambda\mu}$, we conclude $cad = a_{D_0} s_{s(\mu)}$. □

Lemma 5.3. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph, and let (μ, ν) be a generalized cycle with an entrance τ. For $x := s_{\nu^*} s_\mu$ and $x^* := s_{\mu^*} s_\nu$, we have $x^* x = s_{s(\mu)}$ and $x^* s_\tau = s_{\tau^*} x = 0$. Furthermore, if we define $p_i := x^i s_\tau$ and $p_i^* := s_{\tau^*} (x^*)^i$ for $i \geq 1$, then $p_i^* p_j = \delta_{i,j} s_{s(\tau)}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, we have $s_\mu s_{\mu^*} \leq s_\nu s_{\nu^*}$. So,

$$x^*x = s_{s(\mu)}x^*x = (s_{\mu^*}s_\mu)(s_{\mu^*}s_\nu)(s_{\nu^*}s_\mu) = s_{\mu^*}(s_\mu s_{\mu^*})s_\mu = s_{s(\mu)}.$$

Also, (KP3) implies

$$x^*s_\tau = (s_{\mu^*}s_\nu)s_\tau = s_{\mu^*}s_{\nu\tau} = \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Lambda^{\min}(\nu\tau,\mu)} s_\alpha s_{\beta^*} = 0$$

because $\Lambda^{\min}(\nu\tau,\mu) = \emptyset$. A same computation shows $s_{\tau^*}x = 0$ either.

For the second statement, if $i > j$ we have

$$p_i^*p_j = s_{\tau^*}(x^*)^i x^j s_\tau = s_{\tau^*}(x^*)^{i-j}((x^*)^j x^j)s_\tau = s_{\tau^*}(x^*)^{i-j-1}(x^*s_\tau) = 0$$

and if $i < j$, then

$$p_i^*p_j = s_{\tau^*}x^{j-i}s_\tau = (s_{\tau^*}x)x^{j-i-1}s_\tau = 0.$$

Finally, for $j = i$, we get

$$p_i^*p_i = s_{\tau^*}(x^*)^i x^i s_\tau = s_{\tau^*}s_{s(\mu)}s_\tau = s_{s(\tau)}.$$

□

We are now ready to prove the main result of article. If $v \in \Lambda^0$ and (μ,ν) is a generalized cycle in Λ, we say that v *is reached from* (μ,ν) whenever $v \leq s(\mu)$ (i.e., there is a path from $s(\mu)$ to v).

Theorem 5.4. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph. If*

(1) R is a field,
(2) Λ is aperiodic and cofinal, and
(3) every vertex of Λ is reached from a generalized cycle with an entrance,

then $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is simple and purely infinite.

Proof. We use the equivalence (i) $\iff$ (v) of [1, Proposition 10]: $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is purely infinite simple if and only if $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is not a division ring and for every nonzero elements $a, b \in \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$, there exist $c, d \in \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ such that $cad = b$.

Suppose that the three conditions hold. If $|\Lambda^0| \geq 2$ and $v \neq w \in \Lambda^0$, then $s_v s_w = 0$ and s_v, s_w are zero divisors. If $|\Lambda^0| = 1$, then there exist distinct $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda^{e_i}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$, because in the otherwise $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is isomorphic to a nonsimple Laurent polynomial ring $R[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_l, x_l^{-1}]$. So, we have $s_{\nu^*}s_\mu = 0$ by (KP3) and s_μ is a zero divisor in $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$. Thus, in each case, $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is not a division ring.

Now fix nonzero elements $a, b \in \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$. By Lemma 5.2, there are $c', d' \in \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ such that $c'ad' = rs_v$ for some $v \in \Lambda^0$ and $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$. Assume (μ,ν) is a generalized cycle with an entrance τ which connects to v by a path $\lambda \in v\Lambda s(\mu)$. Note that we have

$$s_{\lambda^*}(c'ad')s_\lambda = s_{\lambda^*}(rs_v)s_\lambda = rs_{s(\lambda)} = rs_{s(\mu)}.$$

Since $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is simple by Proposition 4.6 (or [11, Theorem 9.4]), the ideal generated by $rs_{s(\tau)}$ is equal to $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$. So, there exist $\{c_i, d_i \in \mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda) : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{l} c_i(rs_{s(\tau)})d_i = b$. As Lemma 5.3, set $p_i := (s_{\nu^*}s_\mu)^i s_\tau$ and $p_i^* := s_{\tau^*}(s_{\mu^*}s_\nu)^i$ for $i \geq 1$. If we define $c'' = \sum_{i=1}^{l} c_i p_i^*$ and $d'' = \sum_{j=1}^{l} p_j d_j$, Lemma 5.3 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} c''(rs_{s(\mu)})d'' &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l} c_i p_i^*\right) rs_{s(\mu)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{l} p_j d_j\right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{l} rc_i(p_i^* p_j)d_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{l} rc_i s_{s(\tau)} d_i \\ &= b. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by setting $c := c''s_{\lambda^*}c'$ and $d := d's_\lambda d''$, we get $cad = b$. Now [1, Proposition 10] follows the result. □

6. A dichotomy principle for simple Kumjian-Pask algebras

Despite simple Leavitt path algebras, there exists a simple Kumjian-Pask algebra which is neither purely infinite nor locally matricial [5, Theorem 7.10]. In this section, we consider finitely aligned k-graphs Λ such that every vertex of Λ can be reached only from finitely many vertices. Note that every k-graph with finitely many vertices satisfies this condition. In this case, Theorem 6.3 below gives a facile necessary and sufficient criterion so that a Kumjian-pask algebra $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is purely infinite simple. We see also in the case that a simple Kumjian-Pask algebra is either locally matricial or purely infinite.

In order to prove Theorem 6.3, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 6.1. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph with the property that the sets $\Lambda^0_{\geq v} := \{w \in \Lambda^0 : v \leq w\}$ are finite for all $v \in \Lambda^0$. Then every cycle of Λ is reached from an initial cycle. In particular, if Λ is also aperiodic, then every cycle in Λ is reached from an initial cycle with an entrance.*

Proof. Fix a cycle $\mu \in \Lambda$ and let us denote the hereditary set $H := \Lambda^0_{\geq r(\mu)} = \{w \in \Lambda^0 : r(\mu) \leq w\}$. We consider the k-subgraph $H\Lambda = (H, r^{-1}(H), r, s, d)$ of Λ that contains finitely many vertices. For every cycle λ, define $c(\lambda) := |\{i : d(\lambda)_i \neq 0\}|$ and choose a cycle $\rho \in H\Lambda$ such that $c(\rho)$ is maximum among those in $H\Lambda$.

We claim that if $\lambda \in r(\rho)\Lambda$ with $d(\lambda) \wedge d(\rho) = 0$, we then have $\lambda(m) \neq \lambda(n)$ for $m < n \leq d(\lambda)$. For this, assume $\lambda(m) = \lambda(n)$ with $m \neq n$. Set $t := |H|$, $\tau := \rho^t \lambda(0, m)(\lambda(m, n))^t$, and $p := d(\rho) + (n - m)$. Then the vertices $\tau(0), \tau(p), \ldots, \tau(tp)$ are not distinct (because their number is more

than $t = |H|$); so there exists $r < s \leq t$ such that $\tau(rp) = \tau(sp)$. Since for the cycle $\tau(rp, sp)$, we have

$$c(\tau(rp, sp)) = c(\rho) + c(\lambda(m, n)) > c(\rho),$$

this contradicts our choice of ρ. Hence, the claim holds.

Now for each $\lambda \in r(\mu)\Lambda$, let us define the nonnegative integer $b(\lambda) := \sum_{e_i \wedge d(\rho)=0} d(\lambda)_i$. As $t = |H| < \infty$, the above claim implies that $\max\{b(\lambda) : \lambda \in r(\mu)\Lambda\}$ is finite; denote it by N. Note that if $\lambda \in r(\mu)\Lambda$ with $b(\lambda) = N$, then $s(\lambda)\Lambda^{e_i} = \emptyset$ for every $e_i \wedge d(\rho) = 0$. Select some $\lambda \in r(\mu)\Lambda$ with $d(\lambda) = N$ and factorise $\rho^t\lambda = \alpha\beta$ with $d(\alpha) = d(\lambda)$ and $d(\beta) = td(\rho)$. Again, since $t = |H|$, the vertices $\beta(0), \beta(d(\rho)), \ldots, \beta(td(\rho))$ are not distinct. So, there exist $r < s \leq t$ such that $\beta(rd(\rho)) = \beta(sd(\rho))$. As $r(\beta)\Lambda^{e_i} = s(\alpha)\Lambda^{e_i} = \emptyset$ whenever $e_i \wedge d(\rho) = 0$, we see that $\beta(rd(\rho), sd(\rho))$ is an initial cycle. Therefore, μ is reached from the initial cycle $\beta(rd(\rho), sd(\rho))$, as desired.

Furthermore, if Λ is aperiodic, Corollary 4.5(2) implies that every initial cycle has an entrance which follows the second statement. □

Lemma 6.2. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph and R be a unital commutative ring. If $v \in \Lambda^0$ is a vertex such that $v\Lambda = \{v\}$, then $I_v \cong \mathbb{M}_{|\Lambda v|}(R)$ as R-algebras, where I_v is the ideal of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ generated by s_v.*

Proof. For each $(\mu, \nu) \in \Lambda v \times \Lambda v$, define $\theta_{\mu,\nu} := s_\mu s_{\nu^*}$. Since v receives no nontrivial paths, apply (KP2) and (KP3) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_{\mu,\nu}\theta_{\lambda,\gamma} &= s_\mu(s_{\nu^*}s_\lambda)s_{\gamma^*} \\
&= s_\mu \left(\sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Lambda^{\min}(\nu,\lambda)} s_\alpha s_{\beta^*} \right) s_{\gamma^*} \\
&= s_\mu(\delta_{\nu,\lambda}s_v)s_{\gamma^*} \\
&= \delta_{\nu,\lambda}\theta_{\mu,\gamma}
\end{aligned}$$

for every $(\mu, \nu), (\lambda, \gamma) \in \Lambda v \times \Lambda v$. Hence, $\{\theta_{\mu,\nu} : (\mu, \nu) \in \Lambda v \times \Lambda v\}$ forms a set of matrix units indexed by $\Lambda v \times \Lambda v$ which generates a subalgebra in I_v isomorphic to $\mathbb{M}_{|\Lambda v|}(R)$. On the other hand, since $v\Lambda = \{v\}$ is a hereditary subset of Λ^0, Lemma 3.6 implies that the elements $\theta_{\mu,\nu} = s_\mu s_{\nu^*}$ span I_v either. Consequently, I_v is isomorphic to $\mathbb{M}_{|\Lambda v|}(R)$. □

Theorem 6.3. *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph such that the sets $\Lambda^0_{\geq v} := \{w \in \Lambda^0 : v \leq w\}$ are finite for all $v \in \Lambda^0$. Then $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is purely infinite simple if and only if R is a field, and Λ is both cofinal and aperiodic containing a cycle.*

Proof. ($\Longrightarrow$): Assume that $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is simple and purely infinite. Then by Proposition 4.6 (or [11, Theorem 9.4]), R is a field and Λ is aperiodic and cofinal. By way of contradiction, suppose that Λ has no cycles. Since $\Lambda^0_{\geq v} = \{w \in \Lambda^0 : v \leq w\}$ is finite for every $v \in \Lambda^0$, there is $w \in \Lambda^0$ such that $w\Lambda^0 = \{w\}$. If I_w is the ideal of $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ generated by s_w, the simplicity

yields that $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda) = I_w$. But we have $I_w \cong M_{|\Lambda w|}(R)$ by Lemma 6.2 which is not purely infinite. This contradicts the hypothesis.

($\Longleftarrow$): Conversely, assume that R is a field and Λ is aperiodic and cofinal containing a cycle. We first show that every vertex of Λ^0 is reached from a cycle. Fixed $v \in \Lambda^0$, consider the hereditary subset $H := \{w \in \Lambda^0 : v \leq w\}$. Using Theorem 3.8, the cofinality yields $\overline{H} = \Lambda^0$. Take some cycle μ in Λ. Since $r(\mu) \in \overline{H}$, the saturation property of $\overline{H}$ gives $E \in r(\mu)\mathrm{FE}(\Lambda)$ such that $s(E) \subseteq H$. For each $\nu \in \Lambda$ denote $|\nu| := d(\nu)_1 + \ldots + d(\nu)_k$ and select $\lambda \in E$ such that $|\lambda| = \max\{|\nu| : \nu \in E\}$. Since E is exhaustive, the set $\mathrm{Ext}(\mu^t\lambda; E)$ is nonempty for $t := |H|$ the cardinality of H. So, there exist $\beta \in \mathrm{Ext}(\mu^t\lambda; E)$, $\nu \in E$ and $\alpha \in s(\nu)\Lambda$ such that $\mu^t\lambda\beta = \nu\alpha$. In particular, $r(\alpha) = s(\nu) \in H$ and we have

$$|\alpha| = |\mu^t| + |\beta| + (|\lambda| - |\nu|) \geq |\mu^t| + |\beta| \geq |H|.$$

Therefore, there is a cycle as a submorphism of α that connects to v.

Now since Λ is aperiodic, combine the above argument with Lemma 6.1 to see that every vertex of Λ is reached from an initial cycle with an entrance. Hence, by Theorem 5.4, $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is purely infinite and simple. □

Using Theorem 6.3, we obtain the analogue of [14, Corollary 5.7] for simple Kumjian-Pask algebras.

Corollary 6.4 (A dichotomy principle for simple Kumjian-Pask algebras)**.** *Let Λ be a finitely aligned k-graph such that $\Lambda^0_{\geq v}$ is finite for every $v \in \Lambda^0$. Suppose also that $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is simple. If Λ has no cycles, then $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is locally matricial; otherwise, $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is purely infinite simple.*

Proof. Recall from Proposition 4.6 that Λ is aperiodic and cofinal. If Λ has no cycles, then by Lemma 6.2 and the first paragraph of above proof we have $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda) \cong \mathbb{M}_{|\Lambda v|}(R)$ for some $v \in \Lambda^0$. If Λ contains a cycle, Theorem 6.3 implies that $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is purely infinite. □

Remark 6.5. If Λ^0 is finite, the set $\Lambda^0_{\geq v} = \{w \in \Lambda^0 : v \leq w\}$ is finite for all $v \in \Lambda^0$. Since a Kumjian-Pask algebra $\mathrm{KP}_R(\Lambda)$ is unital if and only if Λ^0 is finite, the above corollary covers all unital simple Kumjian-Pask algebras.

References

[1] G. Abrams and G. Aranda Pino, *Purely infinite simple Leavitt path algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **207** (2006), 353-263.

[2] ———, *The Leavitt path algebras of a graph*, J. Algebra **293** (2005), 319-334.

[3] P. Ara, K.R. Goodearl, and E. Pardo, *K_0 of purely infinite simple regular rings*, K-Theory **26** (2002) 69-100.

[4] P. Ara, M.A. Moreno, and E. Pardo, *Nonstable K-theory for graph algebras*, Algebra Represent. Theory **10** (2007), 157-178.

[5] G. Aranda Pino, J. Clark, A. an Huef, and I. Raeburn, *Kumjian-Pask algebras of higher rank graphs*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **365** (2013), 3613-3641.

[6] G. Aranda Pino, K.R. Goodearl, F. Perera, and M. Siles Molina, *Non-simple purely infinite rings*, Amer. J. Math. **132** (2010), 563-610.

[7] T. Bates, D. Pask, I. Raeburn, and W. Symański, *The C^*-algebras of row-finite graphs*, New York J. Math. **6** (2000), 307-324.
[8] J. Brown, L.O. Clark, C. Farthing, and A. Sims, *Simplicity of algebras associated to etale groupoids*, Semigroup Forum **88** (2014), 433-452.
[9] L.O. Clark and C. Edie-Michell, *Uniqueness theorems for Steinberg algebras*, Algebra Represent. Theory **18** (2015), 907-916.
[10] L.O. Clark, C. Flynnand, and A. an Huef, *Kumjian-Pask algebras of locally convex higher-rank graphs*, J. Algebra **399** (2014), 445-474.
[11] L.O. Clark and Y.E.P. Pangalela, *Kumjian-Pask algebras of finitely-aligned higher-rank graphs*, preprint, arXiv:1512.06547 (2016).
[12] Cuntz, *K-Theory for certain C^*-algebras*, Ann. of Math. **113** (1981), 181-197.
[13] D.G. Evans, *On higher-rank graph C^*-algebras*, PhD thesis, Cardiff University, 2002.
[14] D.G. Evans and A. Sims, *When is the Cuntz-Krieger algebra of a higher-rank graph approximately finite-dimensional?*, J. Funct. Anal. **263** (2012), no. 1, 183-215.
[15] C. Farthing, P.S. Muhly and T. Yeend, *Higher-rank graph C^*-algebras: an inverse semigroup and groupoid approach*, Semigroup Forum **71** (2005), 159-187.
[16] A. Kumjian and D. Pask, *Higher rank graph C^*-algebras*, New York J. Math. **6** (2000), 1-20.
[17] H. Larki, *Stable rank of Leavitt path algebras of arbitrary graphs*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **88** (2013), 206-217.
[18] H. Larki, *Ideal structure of Leavitt path algebras with coefficients in a unital commutative ring*, Comm. Algebra **43**(12), (2015) 5031-5058.
[19] P. Lewin and A. Sims, *Aperiodicity and cofinality for finitely aligned higher-rank graphs*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **149** (2010), 333-350.
[20] C. Năstăsescu and F. van Oystaeyen, *Graded ring theory*, North-Holland, Amesterdam, 1982.
[21] D. Pask, I. Raeburn, M. Rørdam, and A. Sims, *Rank-two graphs whose C^*-algebras are direct limits of circle algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **239** (2006), 137-178.
[22] I. Raeburn and A. Sims, *Product systems of graphs and the Toeplitz algebras of higher-rank graphs*, J. Operator Theory **53** (2005), 399-429.
[23] I. Raeburn, A. Sims, and T. Yeend, *Higher rank graphs and their C^*-algebras*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. **46** (2003), 99-115.
[24] I. Raeburn, A. Sims, and T. Yeend, *The C^*-algebras of finitely aligned higher-rank graphs*, J. Funct. Anal. **213** (2004), 206-240.
[25] D. Robertson and A. Sims, *Simplicity of C^*-algebras associated to higher-rank graphs*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **39** (2007), 337-344.
[26] D. Robertson and A. Sims, *Simplicity of C^*-algebras associated to row-finite locally convex higher-rank graphs*, Israel J. Math. **172** (2009), 171-192.
[27] G. Robertson and T. Steger, *Affine buildings, tiling systems and higher rank Cuntz-Krieger algebras* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **513** (1999), 115-144.
[28] J. Shotwell, *Simplicity of finitely aligned k-graph C^*-algebras*, J. Operator Theory **67** (2012), 335- 347.
[29] A. Sims, *Gauge-invariant ideals in the C^*-algebras of finitely aligned higher-rank graphs*, Canad. J. Math. **58** (2006), 1268-1290.
[30] A. Sims, *Relative Cuntz-Krieger algebras of finitely aligned higher-rank graphs*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **55** (2006) 849-868.
[31] M. Tomforde, *Leavitt path algebras with coefficients in a commutative ring*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **215** (2011), 471-484.
[32] M. Tomforde, *Uniqueness theorems and ideal structure for Leavitt path algebras*, J. Algebra **318** (2007), 270-299.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences and Computer, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Iran
E-mail address: h.larki@scu.ac.ir